



Attachment 07

OFFEROR INFORMATION, ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, AND CERTIFICATIONS

Offeror must provide complete responses to each item below. **Insert your responses into this worksheet directly below each question or prompt.**

I. OFFEROR INFORMATION

- A. Company's Full Legal Name:** Satellite Tracking of People, LLC
- B. Primary Business Address:** 5353 W Sam Houston Parkway North, Suite 190, Houston, TX 77041
- C. Federal Tax Identification Number:** 05-0583654
- D. Entity Type:**
 - ☐ Sole Proprietorship
 - ☐ Partnership
 - ☒ Limited Liability Company
 - ☐ Corporation
- E. Artificial Intelligence Disclosure.** Was artificial intelligence technology used in the development or completion of any portion of this proposal? (Check one of the below.)
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☒ No

II. BUSINESS DETAILS

- A. Company Website.** www.securusmonitoring.com
- B. Company History.** Provide a brief history of your company, including the year of its founding and any material acquisitions or mergers in which it has been involved.

Securus Monitoring (Securus), a Satellite Tracking of People LLC (STOP) company, created in December 2004 in the State of Delaware, has helped to revolutionize the electronic monitoring industry with our pioneering one-piece GPS monitoring device, BLUtag. For almost 20 years, BLUtag and VeriTracks, our secure, web-based monitoring platform, have provided more than 900 Federal, state, county, and municipal agencies unparalleled service in support of their community supervision programs.

Since its inception, Securus distinguished itself among electronic monitoring providers through technology innovation and unmatched responsiveness to customers. From the beginning, we have referred to our customer agencies as "partners," reflecting the imperative we must first understand their program and operations and then adapt our technologies to meet their needs, rather than attempting to sell a "one-size-fits-all" solution. We designed our organization to allow the key leaders of software, hardware, and customer operations (some of whom have been with the company since the beginning) to remain close to daily operations, even as our customer base has grown.

In December 2013, Dallas, Texas-based Securus Technologies, Inc. acquired STOP as part of the strategy to expand its advanced technology product portfolio. Securus is the unquestioned technology leader in the law enforcement, corrections, and public safety industries with more technology patents issued and pending than all its competitors combined. While Securus continues to operate as a wholly owned, independent subsidiary, cooperation with other Securus



subsidiaries has accelerated Securus' product development and innovation in areas such as mobile applications and advanced data analytics.

Securus Technologies' purchase of STOP brought a new level of financial stability and significant investment. Over the past eighteen months, Securus has increased staff by more than twenty-five percent to nearly 140 associates. Additionally, Securus has invested millions of dollars in platform technology upgrades, increasing data processing capabilities more than 100-fold while maintaining fully redundant, Tier IV Data Centers. New investments have also enhanced the functionality of both our hardware and software to meet the evolving needs of our customers. In 2017, we reorganized our customer-facing departments to streamline customer interactions and provide backup to all customer-facing employees. We also invested in an industry-leading, end-to-end Customer Relationship Management platform, so every Securus employee has access to account history, and our responsiveness can be documented, measured, and consistently improved.

Satellite Tracking of People, LLC: Incorporated: Delaware, 12/17/2004
5353 W Sam Houston Parkway North, Suite 190, Houston, TX 77041

C. Company Size. Satellite Tracking of People, LLC has 99 employees

D. Ownership Structure. Describe your company's ownership structure.

Securus operates as a wholly owned limited liability company and independent division within Aventiv. Based out of our Houston office, while independent, Securus has access to all software and hardware resources within the parent corporation.

E. Litigation. List all claims of non-performance or breach from customers in excess of \$5,000, including all pending litigation matters (including civil, criminal, or appellate) or criminal convictions in the past 5 years for the company and all principals. Attach an additional document if necessary.

Satellite Tracking of People has no claims of nonperformance or breach from customers. Satellite Tracking of People has no criminal convictions in the past 5 years for company/principals.

Pending Litigation (past 5 years) matters include:

Knox v. Satellite Tracking of People, LLC d/b/a Securus Technologies, et al.

C.A. No.: 2023-CP-40-06866 (5th Judicial Circuit, Richland Co., SC)

Description: Plaintiff alleges product defect and negligence in monitoring services.

Years Active: January 2024 – present.

Status: Pending

Mizerak v. State of California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, et al.

Case No. 20CV368522 (Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara County)

Description: General negligence and products liability claims for injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained wearing an ankle monitor.



Years Active: July 2021 to January 2025
Status: Dismissed

Estepp v. Satellite Tracking of People, LLC, et al.
Case No. 30-2015-00788888-CU-PO-CJC (Superior Court of Orange County, CA)
Description: Plaintiff alleges the wrongful death of her daughter by persons with an electronic monitoring device.
Years Active: October 2013 to 2025
Status: Dismissed

Menzies v. Satellite Tracking of People, LLC et al.
Case No. 0-2018-00987226-CU-PL-CJC (Superior Court of Orange County, CA)
Description: Plaintiff alleges the wrongful death of her daughter by persons with an electronic monitoring device.
Years Active: April 2018 to 2025
Status: Dismissed

Vargas v. Satellite Tracking of People, LLC et al.
Case No. 30-2018-00087203-CU-PL-CJC (Superior Court of Orange County, CA)
Description: Plaintiff alleges the wrongful death of her daughter by persons with an electronic monitoring device.
Years Active: May 2018 to 2025
Status: Dismissed

III. PROPOSAL CONTACT

The Proposal Contact must be able to respond timely to communications from the Lead State. Offeror must, within 24 hours, notify the Lead State of any change to Offeror's Proposal Contact.

- A. Proposal Contact Name:** Kevin Elder
- B. Proposal Contact Title:** President
- C. Proposal Contact Email:** Kevin.Elder@Aventiv.com
- D. Proposal Contact Phone Number:** 682.444.8895

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS

By signing below and submitting a response to this RFP, Offeror acknowledges and certifies the following:

- A. Debarment.** (Check one of the below.)
 - ☒ Neither Offeror nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in public procurement or contracting by any governmental department or agency.
 - ☐ Offeror cannot certify the statement above, and Offeror will affix a written explanation to this attachment for review by the Lead State. If after reviewing Offeror's written explanation the Lead State determines it is not in the best interest of the Lead State, Participating Entities, or Purchasing Entities to award Offeror a Master Agreement, the Lead State may reject Offeror's proposal.



B. Non-collusion.

1. This proposal has been developed independently by Offeror and has been submitted without collusion and without any agreement, understanding, or planned common course of action with any other Offeror or supplier of Deliverables in a manner designed to limit fair and open competition.
2. The contents of this proposal have not been communicated by Offeror or its employees or agents to any person not an employee or agent of Offeror and will not be communicated to any such persons prior to the RFP Close Date.

C. Data Disclosure to Foreign Governments and Prohibited Technology. (Check one of the below.)

- ☒ Offeror is not an entity subject to laws, rules, or policies potentially requiring disclosure of, or provision of access to, customer data to foreign governments or entities controlled by foreign governments, and Offeror's offerings do not contain, include, or utilize components or services supplied by any entity subject to the same. Offeror's offerings also do not contain, include, or utilize covered technology prohibited under Section 889 of the National Defense Authorization Act, as amended.
- ☐ Offeror cannot certify all statements above, and Offeror will affix a written explanation to this attachment for review by the Lead State. If after reviewing Offeror's written explanation the Lead State determines it is not in the best interest of the Lead State, Participating Entities, or Purchasing Entities to award Offeror a Master Agreement, the Lead State may reject Offeror's proposal.

D. Conflicts of Interest. (Check one of the below.)

- ☒ Offeror represents that none of its officers or employees are officers or employees of the Lead State and that none of its officers or employees have a conflict of interest as defined by the laws, rules, or policies of the Lead State.
- ☐ Offeror cannot certify the statement above, and Offeror will affix a written explanation to this attachment for review by the Lead State. If after reviewing Offeror's written explanation the Lead State determines it is not in the best interest of the Lead State, Participating Entities, or Purchasing Entities to award Offeror a Master Agreement, the Lead State may reject Offeror's proposal.

E. Required Insurance. Offeror agrees to acquire insurance from an insurance carrier or carriers licensed to conduct business in each Participating Entity's state at the levels prescribed in Attachment 04, Sample Master Agreement. Offeror understands that this requirement is mandatory and will not be negotiated by the Lead State.

F. NASPO ValuePoint Administrative Fee. Offeror agrees to pay an administrative fee and submit summary and detailed sales reports to NASPO ValuePoint in accordance with Attachment 04, Sample Master Agreement. All costs proposed by Offeror must be inclusive of the NASPO ValuePoint administrative fee. Offeror understands that the requirements in this section are mandatory and will not be negotiated by the Lead State.

G. Marketing Plan. If awarded a Master Agreement resulting from this RFP, within 30 days of execution of the Master Agreement, Offeror will meet with NASPO ValuePoint marketing personnel to review and track progress on the marketing plan described by Offeror in Attachment 08, Offeror Response Worksheet.

H. Confidential, Proprietary, or Protected Information. As set forth in Attachment 01, RFP Terms and Conditions, if Offeror is claiming any portion of its proposal as confidential, proprietary, or

**Request for Proposals for
ELECTRONIC MONITORING**

Issued by the **State of Nevada**
Solicitation Number 99SWC-S3474



protected, Offeror must complete the required sections of Attachment 11, Claim of Business Confidentiality, and submit with Offeror's proposal a redacted copy of Offeror's proposal, which must be clearly marked as such. Offeror may not mark pricing or Offeror's entire proposal as confidential, proprietary, or protected. Submission of a Claim of Business Confidentiality does not guarantee that information claimed by Offeror as confidential, proprietary, or protected will not be subject to disclosure in accordance with applicable public information laws, rules, and policies. If Offeror fails to submit a redacted copy of Offeror's proposal, or fails to claim information as confidential, proprietary, or protected in compliance with this RFP, Offeror releases the Lead State, NASPO, NASPO members, and entities represented on the Multistate Sourcing Team from any obligation to keep the information confidential and waives all claims of liability arising from disclosure of the information.

- I. **Cancellation and Transfer.** Offeror understands and agrees that the Lead State may, as set forth in Attachment 01, RFP Terms and Conditions, cancel this RFP or transfer this RFP to a new Lead State if the Lead State determines that such transfer is in the best interest of the Lead State and potential Participating Entities and Purchasing Entities.
- J. **Conditional Awards.** Offeror understands that awards and execution of a Master Agreement are conditional as set forth in Attachment 01, RFP Terms and Conditions, and Offeror agrees to hold the Lead State and NASPO harmless and release the Lead State and NASPO from any liability for damages arising from non-award or non-execution of a contract.
- K. **Understanding of the RFP.** Offeror has read the RFP in its entirety and understands and agrees to comply with all requirements set forth therein. Any conflicts in the materials composing the RFP and any issues relating to the content of the RFP, including instructions, requirements, or specifications Offeror believes to be ambiguous, unduly restrictive, erroneous, anticompetitive, or unlawful, have been brought to the attention of the Lead State using the process described in the RFP for asking questions or, if applicable, by filing a protest. In accordance with Attachment 01 RFP Terms and Conditions, Offeror acknowledges and understands that any protest, claim, dispute, or action based upon a conflict or issue described herein must be filed no later than the RFP Close Date, and Offeror waives the right to file any protest, claim, dispute, or action based upon a conflict or issue described herein if not filed by the RFP Close Date.

Signature

The undersigned is one of the following:

- 1. The Offeror, if Offeror is an individual;
- 2. A partner in the company, if Offeror is a partnership; or
- 3. An officer or employee of the responding corporation having authority to sign on its behalf, if Offeror is a corporation.

By signing below, the undersigned warrants that the representations made and the information provided in Offeror's proposal are true, correct, and reliable for purposes of evaluation for a potential contract award. The submission of inaccurate or misleading information may be grounds for disqualification from contract award and may subject the undersigned, Offeror, or both to suspension or debarment proceedings, as well as other remedies available to the Lead State by law, including termination of any Master Agreement awarded to Offeror.

OFFEROR:


Signature

2/25/26
Date

Request for Proposals for
ELECTRONIC MONITORING

Issued by the **State of Nevada**
Solicitation Number 99SWC-S3474



Kevin Elder
Printed Name

President
Title

Kevin.Elder@Aventiv.com
Email Address

682-444-8895
Phone Number